Performance of the VITEK 2 Advanced Expert System (AES) as a Rapid Tool for Reporting Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (AST) in *Enterobacterales*

Cecilia G. Carvalhaes, Dee Shortridge, Leah N. Woosley, Nabina Gurund, Mariana Castanheira JMI Laboratories

Objective

To evaluate the performance of the VITEK 2 AES in comparison to the reference broth microdilution on a challenging set of whole genome sequenced *Enterobacterales* isolates of North and Latin American origin.

Methods

- 488 unique, molecularly characterized *Enterobacterales* isolates were selected from 2015-2019 SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance program (384 isolates) and CDC AR Bank (104 isolates).
- Isolates from North America (61 medical centers in the US) and Latin America (11 medical centers in 6 countries) were included.
- Isolates were tested by reference broth microdilution (BMD; CLSI) and VITEK 2 using N802 and XN15 AST cards and AES (v.9.02) in the CLSI-based + Natural Resistance (NATR) mode.
- BMD and VITEK 2 results for 29 antimicrobial agents were compared and discordant results were repeated by both methods using the same inoculum.
- The AES phenotypes were compared to resistant genotypes, while AES levels of confidence (green, yellow, and red) were compared to BMD results for accuracy.



Results

• A total of 365 (74.8%) isolates harboured β-lactam resistant genes and 123 (25.2%) were wildtype.

Figure 1. Distribution of *Enterobacterales* isolates by species and β-Lactam resistant genotype



Other Enterobacterales included: *C. freundii* species complex (21), *C. koseri* (13), *Klebsiella* aerogenes (7), *K. oxytoca* (15), *Kluyvera* ascorbata (1), *Morganella* morganii (5), *Pluralibacter* gergoviae (1), *Proteus mirabilis* (18), *Providencia* rettgeri (5), *P. stuartii* (3), *Serratia* marcescens (34).

- An AES phenotype was reported for 447 (91.6%) isolates, and 431/447 (94.6%) results agreed with the genotype.
 - **Figure 2.** Agreement rates between VITEK 2 phenotype disposition and β-lactam resistant genotype





Results

Figure 3. Distribution of VITEK 2 AES labeling colors



Recommended label based on BMD results

	Green	Yellow
AES - Green	360	22
AES - Yellow	16	49
Total	376	71

Green Reports: 360/382 (94.2%) isolates could have been automatically reported without further review.

- 337 (88.2%) agreed to BMD with no ME or VME.
- 23 (6.0%) displayed at least 1 ME or VME that may not be detected by further review.
- The remaining 22 isolates displayed discrepancies that could be corrected by the AES by implementing additional corrections.

Yellow Reports: AES corrections were acceptable for 45/65 (69.2%) isolates.

- For 16 (24.6%) isolates, the initial result agreed with BMD and could be released as green.
- The 4 remaining isolates had a mismatch between phenotype and genotype.

Red Reports: 41 isolates were not a match for VITEK 2 AES phenotypes.

- Isolates displayed the following genotypes: 20 carbapenemase, 15 ESBL, 4 tAmpC, and 2 wildtype.
- 32/41 (78.0%) isolates displayed no ME or VME.

Results

Proposed corrections to improve the VITEK 2 AES report based on broth microdilution results.

	No. of	
Error Observed	occurrences	Correction proposed
Cefepime VME	13	Call cefepime resistant if a carbapenemase phenotype is detected
Aztreonam VME	6	Call aztreonam resistant when a high level cephalosporinase (AmpC) phenotype is detected
Meropenem- vaborbactam ME	2	Call meropenem-vaborbactam susceptible if meropenem is susceptible
Piperacillin- tazobactam VME	1	Call piperacillin-tazobactam resistant if a carbapenemase phenotype is detected

Conclusions

- Compared to WGS, AES reports displayed accurate phenotypes for >90% of this challenge collection *Enterobacterales* isolates.
- Out of all green AES reports, >94% of *Enterobacterales* isolates could be confidently and rapidly auto-released, which could significantly aid antimicrobial stewardship initiatives and generate better patient outcomes.

Acknowledgements

This study at JMI Laboratories was supported by bioMérieux (USA). JMI Laboratories received compensation fees for services in relation to preparing the poster, which was funded by bioMérieux.

Cecilia Carvalhaes, MD, PhD, D(ABMM) cecilia-carvalhaes@jmilabs.com

Contact

